

05-5943-cv(L)

COUNSEL TO ARGUE: MICHAEL J. BONI

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

IRVIN MUCHNICK, ABRAHAM ZALEZNIK, CHARLES SCHWARTZ, JACK SANDS, TODD PITOCK, JUDITH STACEY,
JUDITH TROTSKY, CHRISTOPHER GOODRICH, KATHY GLICKEN
AND ANITA BARTHOLOMEW,

Objectors-Appellants,

—against—

MICHAEL CASTLEMAN INC., E.L. DOCTOROW, TOM DUNKEL, ANDREA DWORKIN,
JAY FELDMAN, JAMES GLEICK, RONALD HYMAN, ROBERT LACEY, RUTH LACEY,
PAULA McDONALD, P/K ASSOCIATES, INC., LETTY COTTIN POGREBIN, GERALD POSNER,
MIRIAM RAFTERY, RONALD M. SCHWARTZ, MARY SHERMAN, DONALD SPOTO,
ROBERT E. TREUHAFT AND JESSICA L. TREUHAFT TRUST, ROBIN VAUGHN, ROBLEY WILSON, MARIE WINN,
NATIONAL WRITERS UNION, THE AUTHORS GUILD, INC. AND
AMERICAN SOCIETY OF JOURNALISTS AND AUTHORS,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

THOMSON CORPORATION, DIALOG CORPORATION, GALE GROUP, INC. WEST PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC.,
DOW JONES & COMPANY, INC., DOW JONES REUTERS BUSINESS INTERACTIVE, LLC, KNIGHT RIDDER INC.,
KNIGHT RIDDER DIGITAL, MEDIASTREAM, INC., NEWSBANK, INC.,
PROQUEST COMPANY, REED ELSEVIER INC., UNION-TRIBUNE PUBLISHING COMPANY,
NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY, COPLEY PRESS, INC., AND EBSCO INDUSTRIES, INC., AND PARTICIPATING
PUBLISHER TRIBUNE COMPANY,

Defendants-Appellees

EDWARD ROEDER,

Appellant,

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BRIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS-APPELLEES

MICHAEL J. BONI
JOSHUA D. SNYDER
Kohn Swift & Graf, P.C.
One South Broad Street, Suite 2100
Philadelphia, PA 19107
(215) 238-1700
Counsel for Plaintiffs-Appellees

(Additional counsel listed on inside cover)

Additional Attorneys for Plaintiffs-Appellees:

DIANE S. RICE
Hosie McArthur LLP
One Market Street
Spear Street Tower, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105

A. J. DE BARTOLOMEO
Girard Gibbs & De Bartolomeo LLP
601 California St., Suite 1400
San Francisco, CA 94108

GARY FERGUS
Fergus, A Law Firm
595 Market Street, Suite 2430
San Francisco, California 94105

FEDERAL RULE OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 26.1 STATEMENT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1, and to enable judges of the court to evaluate possible disqualification or recusal, plaintiffs-appellees Michael Castleman Inc., P/K Associates, Inc., and The Authors Guild, Inc. certify that there are no corporate parents or other publicly held companies owning 10% or more of any plaintiff-appellee's stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FEDERAL RULE OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 26.1 STATEMENT	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
STATEMENT OF ISSUES PRESENTED FOR REVIEW	1
STATEMENT OF FACTS	1
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND OF THE LITIGATION	3
III. THE MEDIATION	6
IV. THE SETTLEMENT	10
A. Pertinent Settlement Terms	10
1. Class Definition	10
2. Settlement Amount	11
3. Plan of Allocation	11
4. Continued Electronic Use	12
5. Claim Reduction If \$18 Million Cap Is Exceeded	12
B. The Release	13
V. THE DISTRICT COURT’S REVIEW OF THE SETTLEMENT	13
A. Preliminary Approval	13
B. The Notice Program	14
C. Reaction Of The Class	15
D. Final Settlement Approval	17
STANDARD OF REVIEW	21
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	22

ARGUMENT	24
I. THE RELEASE	24
A. The Release of Known Future Claims Is Proper	24
B. The Class Was Adequately Notified With Respect to the Release.	31
C. The Remaining Attacks on the Release Fail.	32
II. THE DISTRICT COURT DID NOT ABUSE ITS DISCRETION IN APPROVING THE SETTLEMENT.	34
A. Plaintiffs Were Adequate Class Representatives.	34
B. Claims Based on Scientific and Research-Based Medical Works Were Not Released.	38
III. THE OTHER OBJECTIONS ARE UNFOUNDED.	40
A. The Court’s Approval of the Settlement Is Well-Supported and Based on a Thorough Record and Independent Judgment, and the Record Is More Than Sufficient to Enable Appellate Review.	40
B. The Requirement that Objectors Appear in Person Does Not Violate Due Process.	45
CONCLUSION	46

